

Session Objective

- Needs and Opportunities for Design, Monitoring and Evaluation for Peacebuilding in CARE.
- Highlight one approach – Theories of Change

Measuring Peacebuilding Effectiveness

- Accountability
- Lesson Learning
- Challenges
- Links between M&E and the Policy Discourse

Donor Community

- DFID – Value for Money
- USAID – Families of TOC
- EC – Lesson Learning
- Trusts & Foundations

Peacebuilding Design, Monitoring & Evaluation Project

Theory of Change Approach (TOC)

We believe that by doing x we will achieve y

- If we do_____ then _____ will result because _____.
- **If** we provide training to Nepali youth in six districts on non-violent conflict resolution and we engage communities on the importance of the youth's role in negotiated resolution **then** the youth will be able to resolve local conflicts in these districts **because** they will have the skills necessary and people will value their support.

Objectives of the Project

1. Capacity building of peace practitioners
2. Create “Tools, Techniques” for reviewing, monitoring and evaluating Theories of Change (TOCs)
3. Lesson Learning – locally, internationally
4. Stronger impact of peacebuilding projects.
5. Donor Advocacy – M&E Effectiveness, Accountability, Responsiveness

Research Teams

DRC

- International Alert
- RFDA
- Sofibef
- Dynamique Synergie des Femmes (DSF)
- Caucus des Femmes
- Nepal
- CARE International
- International Alert
- Alliance for Peace
- Ministry of Peace & Reconstruction
- Youth Action
- Search for Common Ground

Uganda

- CARE International
- International Alert
- DED/ Teso Initiative for Peace (TIP) , Justice and Peace Commission
- Kabarole Research Centre (KRC)
- The African PACT TAP
- Makerere University, Dept of Peace & Conflict Studies
- World Vision

Project Feedback Mechanisms

- Best practice in peacebuilding DM&E will be codified and disseminated.
- Specific papers and policy briefs
- Tools and techniques are to be shared with peace practitioners, donors, and development CSOs
- Roundtable discussions – locally, Brussels, London
- Addressing Policy for Evaluation, Transparency of funding, Coordination, and Flexibility

Defin

- **Diff**
indi
add
with
- **Pos**
spe
avo



ed list

ion

ilised,
e),