Session Objective

• Needs and Opportunities for Design, Monitoring and Evaluation for Peacebuilding in CARE.
• Highlight one approach – Theories of Change
Measuring Peacebuilding Effectiveness

- Accountability
- Lesson Learning
- Challenges
- Links between M&E and the Policy Discourse
Donor Community

• DFID – Value for Money
• USAID – Families of TOC
• EC – Lesson Learning

• Trusts & Foundations
Peacebuilding Design, Monitoring & Evaluation Project

Theory of Change Approach (TOC)
We believe that by doing x we will achieve y

- If we do_______ then _____ will result because __________.

- **If** we provide training to Nepali youth in six districts on non-violent conflict resolution and we engage communities on the importance of the youth’s role in negotiated resolution then the youth will be able to resolve local conflicts in these districts **because** they will have the skills necessary and people will value their support.
Objectives of the Project

1. Capacity building of peace practitioners

2. Create “Tools, Techniques” for reviewing, monitoring and evaluating Theories of Change (TOCs)

3. Lesson Learning – locally, internationally

4. Stronger impact of peacebuilding projects.

5. Donor Advocacy – M&E Effectiveness, Accountability, Responsiveness
# Research Teams

**DRC**
- International Alert
- RFDA
- Sofibef
- Dynamique Synergie des Femmes (DSF)
- Caucus des Femmes
- Nepal
- CARE International
- International Alert
- Alliance for Peace
- Ministry of Peace & Reconstruction
- Youth Action
- Search for Common Ground

**Uganda**
- CARE International
- International Alert
- DED/ Teso Initiative for Peace (TIP), Justice and Peace Commission
- Kabarole Research Centre (KRC)
- The African PACT TAP
- Makerere University, Dept of Peace & Conflict Studies
- World Vision
Project Feedback Mechanisms

- Best practice in peacebuilding DM&E will be codified and disseminated.
- Specific papers and policy briefs
- Tools and techniques are to be shared with peace practitioners, donors, and development CSOs
- Roundtable discussions – locally, Brussels, London
- Addressing Policy for Evaluation, Transparency of funding, Coordination, and Flexibility
Defining project TOC versus a prepared list:

• Difficulties with project defining own indicators could be insufficient; requires additional information collection; and tension with time, capacity, etc.

• Positive about defining own: contextualised, specific, lessons confusion (USAID example), avoids ticking the box, etc.